

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/848,742	Applicant(s) HIRAMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner Kevin M. Bernatz	Art Unit 1773	

All Participants:

 (1) Kevin M. Bernatz.

 (2) Douglass Mueller.
Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 May 2006
Time: AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 1

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

the Examiner indicated that amendment to bring the claims commensurate in scope to the showing of unexpected results would place the case into condition for allowance. Applicants agreed to the proposed changes.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)